Case 2:21-cv-00042-JDW Document 1 Filed 01/06/21 Page 1 of 9

JS 44 (Rev. 10/20)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS		DEFENDANTS	DEFENDANTS		
Thomas S. Resch			Sugarhouse HSP Gaming, L.P. d/b/a Rivers Casino Philadelphia f/k/a Sugarhouse Casino		
(b) County of Residence of	of First Listed Plaintiff Palm Beach Cty., F	County of Residence of	County of Residence of First Listed Defendant		
The state of the s	XCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)	NOTE: IN LAND CO	(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)		
142 Tomoreon			OF LAND INVOLVED.		
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name,	Address, and Telephone Number)	Attorneys (If Known)			
	, Pollins Law, 303 W. Lancaster Ave., 3 19087, 610-896-9909	Ste. Elizabeth A. Ma	Elizabeth A. Malloy - Cozen O'Connor		
II. BASIS OF JURISD	PICTION (Place on "X" in One Box Only)	III. CITIZENSHIP OF PR		(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff and One Box for Defendant)	
U.S. Government Plaintiff	X 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)	Citizen of This State	F DEF 1 Incorporated or Pr of Business In T		
2 U.S. Government Defendant	4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)	Citizen of Another State	2		
		Foreign Country	3 Soreign Nation	□ 6 □ 6	
IV. NATURE OF SUI			Click here for: Nature of Suit Code Descriptions. FORFEITURE/PENALTY BANKRUPTCY OTHER STATUTES		
CONTRACT	TORTS DEBSONAL INTERV DEBSONAL INTERV	FORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY 422 Appeal 28 USC 158	375 False Claims Act	
110 Insurance 120 Marine 130 Miller Act 140 Negotiable Instrument 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgmen 151 Medicare Act 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans)	PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel & Slander 330 Federal Employers' Liability 340 Marine 345 Personal Injury 367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability 345 Marine Product Liability	625 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 690 Other	f Property 21 USC 881 423 Withdrawal 376 Qui Tam (31 USC		
153 Recovery of Overpayment			880 Defend Trade Secrets	480 Consumer Credit	
of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits 190 Other Contract 195 Contract Product Liability 196 Franchise	350 Motor Vehicle 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 360 Other Personal Injury 362 Personal Injury Medical Malpractice 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage 385 Property Damage Product Liability	710 Fair Labor Standards Act 720 Labor/Management Relations 740 Railway Labor Act 751 Family and Medical Leave Act	Act of 2016		
REAL PROPERTY 210 Land Condemnation	CIVIL RIGHTS PRISONER PETITION 440 Other Civil Rights Habeas Corpus:	790 Other Labor Litigation 791 Employee Retirement	865 RSI (405(g))	891 Agricultural Acts 893 Environmental Matters	
220 Foreclosure 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment	441 Voting 463 Alien Detainee 442 Employment 510 Motions to Vacate	Income Security Act	FEDERAL TAX SUITS 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant)	895 Freedom of Information Act 896 Arbitration	
240 Torts to Land 245 Tort Product Liability	443 Housing/ Sentence Accommodations 530 General	1	871 IRS—Third Party	899 Administrative Procedure	
290 All Other Real Property	445 Amer. w/Disabilities - 535 Death Penalty Employment Other: 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - 540 Mandamus & Other Other 550 Civil Rights 555 Prison Condition 560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of Confinement	IMMIGRATION 462 Naturalization Application 465 Other Immigration Actions	26 USC 7609	Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes	
V. ORIGIN (Place an "X"					
	emoved from 3 Remanded from Late Court Appellate Court	4 Reinstated or 5 Transfe Reopened 5 Anothe (specify	r District Litigation		
	Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you ar	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			
VI. CAUSE OF ACTI	ON Brief description of cause: Termination of employment due to retaliation/ag	ne discrimination			
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:		******	CHECK YES only JURY DEMAND	if demanded in complaint:	
VIII. RELATED CAS IF ANY	SE(S) (See instructions): JUDGE	1.01	DOCKET NUMBER		
DATE	SIGNATURE OF AT	ORNAY OF RECORD			
01/06/2021	TOX 1	LHTI			
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY					
RECEIPT# A	AMOUNT APPLYING IFP	JUDGE	MAG. JU	JDGE	

Case 2:21-cv-00042-JDW Document 1 Filed 01/06/21 Page 2 of 9

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

DESIGNATION FORM

(to be used by counsel or pro se plaintiff to indicate the category of the case for the purpose of assignment to the appropriate calendar)

Address of Plaintiff:	Delray Beach, Florida				
Address of Defendant: 100°	North Delaware Avenue, Philadelphia, PA				
Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction:	1001 North Delaware Avenue, Philadelphia, PA				
RELATED CASE, IF ANY:					
Case Number: J	adge: Date Terminated:				
Civil cases are deemed related when Yes is answered to	my of the following questions:				
1. Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered suit pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court?					
2. Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior suit pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court?					
3. Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or any earlier numbered case pending or within one year previously terminated action of this court?					
4. Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus, social security appeal, or pro se civil rights Yes No No					
I certify that, to my knowledge, the within case is this court except as noted above. DATE: 01/06/2021	is not related to any case now pending or within one year previously terminated action in PA76334 Attorney-at-Law / Pro Se Plaintiff Attorney I.D. # (if applicable)				
CIVIL: (Place a √ in one category only) A. Federal Question Cases:	B. Diversity Jurisdiction Cases:				
□ 1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All □ 2. FELA □ 3. Jones Act-Personal Injury □ 4. Antitrust □ 5. Patent □ 6. Labor-Management Relations ☑ 7. Civil Rights □ 8. Habeas Corpus □ 9. Securities Act(s) Cases □ 10. Social Security Review Cases □ 11. All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify):	Other Contracts 1. Insurance Contract and Other Contracts 2. Airplane Personal Injury 3. Assault, Defamation 4. Marine Personal Injury 5. Motor Vehicle Personal Injury 6. Other Personal Injury (Please specify): 7. Products Liability 8. Products Liability — Asbestos 9. All other Diversity Cases (Please specify):				
I, Scott M. Pollins, coun	ARBITRATION CERTIFICATION his certification is to remove the case from eligibility for arbitration.) sel of record or pro se plaintiff, do hereby certify:				
exceed the sum of \$150,000.00 exclusive of in Relief other than monetary damages is sought.	nat to the best of my knowledge and belief, the damages recoverable in this civil action case terest and costs:				
DATE: 01/06/2021	PA76334				
NOTE: A trial de novo will be a trial by jury only if there has b	Attorney-at-Law / Pro Se Plaintiff Attorney I.D. # (if applicable) een compliance with F.R.C.P. 38.				

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

THOMAS S. RESCH

Plaintiff : CIVIL ACTION NO.

v. :

SUGARHOUSE HSP GAMING, L.P.

d/b/a RIVERS CASINO PHILADELPHIA: JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

f/k/a SUGARHOUSE CASINO :

Defendant

COMPLAINT

I. <u>INTRODUCTION</u>

1. Plaintiff, Thomas S. Resch (TResch), brings this action under the Age
Discrimination in Employment Act (ADEA) and the Pennsylvania Human Relations Act
(PHRA) against his former employer, Defendant, Sugarhouse HSP Gaming, L.P. d/b/a
Rivers Casino Philadelphia f/k/a Sugarhouse Casino (Rivers). TResch seeks back pay,
front pay in lieu of reinstatement, loss of earnings capacity, compensatory damages
(under the PHRA only), liquidated damages (under the ADEA only), interest, negative
tax consequence damages, injunctive relief, and attorney's fees and costs.

II. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 2. This Court has jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1343 and the ADEA. Furthermore, Rivers' conduct violated the PHRA, and the pendant jurisdiction of this Court is invoked to remedy those violations.
- 3. Venue is proper as TResch worked at Rivers, which is located in the Eastern District of Pennsylvania.
- 4. TResch exhausted all remedies available to him as set forth in the ADEA by timely filing a complaint with the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (EEOC) and dually filing with the Pa. Human Relations Commission (PHRC). The EEOC issued

a notice of right to sue on October 14, 2020 and this Complaint is filed within 90 days of that date.

III. PARTIES

- 5. TResch resides in Delray Beach, FL and he is currently 73 years of age.
- 6. Rivers is a gambling casino located at 1001 North Delaware Avenue,
 Philadelphia, PA. Rivers also has casinos in Pittsburgh, Des Plaines, IL and Schenectady,
 NY.
- 7. At all material times hereto, Rivers acted through its employees, agents, and/or representatives who were acting within the course and scope of their employment and authority.

IV. FACTUAL BACKGROUND

- 8. TResch is a professional poker dealer with experience dealing at two World Series of Poker (WSOP) events and multiple casinos in the Philadelphia area.
- 9. TResch applied to be a poker dealer at Rivers Casino in about March 2018, February 2019 and again in July/August 2019. As of about October 2019, Sugarhouse Casino became known as Rivers Casino Philadelphia.
 - 10. Each time TResch auditioned to be a poker dealer he was not hired.
- 11. After not being hired for at least the third time over the past year and a half or so and in about August/September 2019, TResch spoke with Aaron Harvey, who upon information and belief is the hiring manager at Rivers Casino. Mr. Harvey told TResch it was not his final decision and he did not understand why Rivers did not hire him.

- 12. TResch periodically played poker at Rivers and he noticed that the poker dealers appeared to be much younger than him. He became concerned that Rivers was not hiring him because of age discrimination.
- 13. TResch decided to go to Rivers and speak with Tom Bates, who is the poker room manager.
- 14. TResch spoke with Mr. Bates in about October 2019. He told Mr. Bates that Rivers repeatedly not hiring him is a case of age discrimination. TResch told Mr. Bates his performance is good and his experience and skill are definitely good enough to deal poker at Rivers. He told Mr. Bates that he was considering filing a complaint of age discrimination with the EEOC. Mr. Bates denied that Rivers was not hiring TResch due to age discrimination. After TResch spoke with Mr. Bates, he saw Mr. Harvey and told him what he had discussed with Mr. Bates. Mr. Harvey told TResch 'we'll see where it goes'.
- 15. Several weeks later, Mr. Harvey called TResch and informed him that Rivers had openings for poker dealers, and he should come in.
- 16. TResch was surprised to receive such a call because Rivers had repeatedly refused to hire him, and he had not re-applied to work there since he spoke with Mr. Bates a few weeks prior. Nevertheless, TResch wanted to work as a poker dealer at Rivers so he attended a new employee orientation on December 10 and 11, 2019.
- 17. TResch's first day working at Rivers was 12/20/19. His shift started at 10pm. Prior to his first day, he called Mr. Harvey and asked him whether he would have an overview of what to expect. Mr. Harvey told TResch to come in a half hour early on his first night of work, which is what TResch did on 12/20.

- 18. When TResch came in early on his first night of work on 12/20, he saw shift manager Mike Ricci. He told him to go see Andrew, who was the floor person. He asked Andrew how the BRAVO system worked. The BRAVO system is a new touch screen system used by Rivers at its poker tables. TResch wanted to be familiar with how to use the new BRAVO system before he started dealing so he would be efficient from the beginning. Andrew told TResch 'Thomas, you ask way too many questions. It's not that difficult, just get in there and deal and you will figure it out.'
- 19. TResch worked on 12/20, 12/21 and 12/26. Each night he worked he asked for an employee identification or swipe card which was supposed to be issued to all new employees. Each night he was told that they would get him the swipe card but he never received one. In fact, on the night of 12/26 he was told that he was not in Rivers' system yet.
- 20. TResch did not understand why Rivers did not issue him a swipe card because Rivers had already issued an employee swipe card to the two younger poker dealers who started at the same time he did, Erin and Wayne (both are in their 30's, do not know their last names).
- 21. When TResch showed up for work on 12/27/19, Mr. Ricci came out of the office and asked to see him. He then took TResch to the office where a table games manager was waiting. Mr. Ricci told TResch he was being terminated. Mr. Ricci said he was only the messenger and if TResch had any questions that he should speak with Mr. Bates tomorrow.
- 22. The next day on 12/28 TResch called and spoke with Mr. Bates. Mr. Bates told TResch that he did not perform up to expectations. Mr. Bates told TResch that he

only dealt 9-12 hands per shift (30 minutes) and he needed to deal 15-17 hands per shift. TResch told Mr. Bates that nobody said anything to him about dealing too slowly. He told Mr. Bates that poker players take time to make their bets, especially at high stakes games like he was dealing for. TResch told Mr. Bates that he had no warning. TResch told Mr. Bates that not only did Rivers not give him a 90 day probationary period they did not even give him a 90 hour probationary period. TResch told Mr. Bates that he thinks when he told Mr. Bates that he was going to file an age discrimination case with the EEOC that Mr. Bates did his due diligence and then pulled the plug on him.

- 23. Upon information and belief, Rivers has never hired a poker dealer and fired them after only three days of work without any verbal or written warning or doc. coaching for dealing too slowly.
- 24. Upon information and belief, Rivers did not summarily terminate after only three days of employment any poker dealers who were substantially younger than TResch due to dealing too slowly.
- 25. Rivers hired TResch to avoid him filing an age discrimination claim. Rivers never intended to actually keep him on as an employee. They hired TResch in an attempt to avoid a failure to hire age discrimination complaint.
- 26. Rivers fired TResch in retaliation for saying he was going to file an EEOC complaint for age discrimination and also due to his age.
- 27. TResch has suffered, is now suffering and will continue to suffer emotional distress, loss of earnings capacity, loss of earnings in the past and in the future, reputation damage, embarrassment, humiliation, inconvenience, mental anguish, and other nonpecuniary losses as a direct result of Rivers' unlawful conduct.

V. <u>CLAIMS</u>

COUNT I – Retaliation (ADEA and PHRA)

- 28. Paragraphs 1-27 are incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.
- 29. The acts, failures to act, practices and policies of Rivers set forth above constitute retaliation in violation of the ADEA and PHRA.

WHEREFORE, TResch respectfully demands judgment in his favor and against Rivers for compensatory damages (under the PHRA only) for emotional distress, loss of earnings capacity, mental anguish, humiliation and embarrassment, back pay, reinstatement or front pay, liquidated damages (under the ADEA only), interest, negative tax consequence damages, attorney's fees plus costs, declaratory relief that the conduct engaged in by Rivers violated TResch's civil rights, equitable/injunctive relief directing Rivers to cease any and all unlawful retaliation against their employees and such other relief as the Court deems proper.

COUNT II – Age Discrimination (ADEA and PHRA)

- 30. Paragraphs 1-27 are incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.
- 31. The acts, failures to act, practices and policies of Rivers set forth above constitute age discrimination in violation of the ADEA and PHRA.

WHEREFORE, TResch respectfully demands judgment in his favor and against Rivers for compensatory damages (under the PHRA only) for emotional distress, loss of earnings capacity, mental anguish, humiliation and embarrassment, back pay, reinstatement or front pay, liquidated damages (under the ADEA only), interest, negative tax consequence damages, attorney's fees plus costs, declaratory relief that the conduct engaged in by Rivers violated TResch's civil rights, equitable/injunctive relief directing Rivers to cease any and all unlawful retaliation against their employees and such other relief as the Court deems proper.

Respectfully submitted,

By: /s/ Scott M. Pollins

Scott M. Pollins - Pa. Atty. Id. No. 76334

Pollins Law

303 W. Lancaster Ave., Ste. 1C

Wayne, PA 19087

(610) 896-9909 (phone)/(610) 896-9910 (fax)

scott@pollinslaw.com (email)

Attorney for Plaintiff, Thomas S. Resch

Date: <u>1/6/21</u>